2

3

4

5

6

ry

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

tion in that they fail to establish the background and training of those who performed the experiments.

- Paragraph 2. This paragraphs lacks foundation in that does not establish a chain of custody as to where the alleged tissue was purchased, that the package was not tampered with, that the original wrapping was not removed at any time before the experiment.
- 3. Paragraph 5. This paragraph lacks foundation in that there is no showing that this company's own standard is acceptable the scientific community or that the company's "internal testing" has been accepted in any court (whether state or federal).
- 4. Paragraph 7. This paragraph lacks foundation in that there is no showing that this company's own standard (regarding "dirt is acceptable in the scientific community or that the company's "internal testing" has been accepted in any (whether state or federal).
- 5. Paragraph 8. This paragraph draws unfounded conclusions to what or what not the average consumer may think.
- 6. Paragraphs 9, 10, and 11. These paragraphs lack foundation and fail to set forth in any meaningful detail the methods protocol used to conduct this experiment; further the paragraphs fail to lay any foundation as to the qualifications of the persons who conducted the experiments.

Defendants respectfully submit these objections and respectfully will request the court's permission to raise them orally at the January 28, 2008 hearing.

| ç | ase 3:07-cv-02391-J | AH-POR | Document 17 Filed 01/22/2008 F | Page 3 of 4 |
|------|---------------------|----------|---|-------------|
| | Dated: January | 21,_2008 | KAZANJIAN & MARTINETT RONALD MARTINETTI, ES | 'I SQ. |
| 1 | | | Y Paramaka. | |
| 2 | | | Ronald Martinetti | lonta |
| 3 | | | Attorneys for Defend Lee's General Toys, John Lee | Inc. and |
| 4 | | | E-mail: amlegends@ac | ol.com |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| לי ו | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |

LAW OFFICES
KAZANJIAN & MARTINETTI
520 EAST WILSON AVENUE
SUITE 250
GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91206

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in Los Angeles County, California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 520 East Wilson Glendale, California 91206.

On this date I served Defendants' Evidentiary Objections to Decl. of Jos. Miller Attached to Reply to Defendants' Decl. of R. Martinetti

by personal delivery (to the address below)

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box at Glendale, California, addressed to:

Stephen Swinton, Esq.
Latham & Watkins
12636 High Bluff Drive, Suite 400
San Diego, California 92130-2071
Tel. 858-523-5400
FAX 858-523-5450

and via FAX to counsel for Georgia-Pacific Consumer Prod. (x)

(x) BY MAIL I sealed and placed such envelope for collection and mailing to be deposited in the mail on the same day in the ordinary course of business at Glendale, California. I am readily familiar with our law firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence and documents for mailing. They are deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day as dated, in the ordinary course of business:

(State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

(x) (Federal)

The papers are also to be filed via e-mail.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this January 21, 2008 at Glendale, California 91206

